

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/845,259		05/01/2001	Kazuhiro Ogura	016907/1227	2842
22428	7590	04/27/2004		EXAMINER	
FOLEY	AND LAR	DNER	FISCHER, ANDREW J		
	SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHIN	IGTON, DO	C 20007	3627		
				DATE MAILED: 04/27/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		·					
•		Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)				
	2	09/845,259	OGURA ET AL.				
· >	Offic Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
		Andrew J. Fischer	3627 My				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears n the cover sheet with the c rrespondence address - Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 M	March 2004.					
·	•	s action is non-final.					
,	,						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-13 and 15-25 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-13 and 15-25 are subject to restrice.	awn from consideration.	• •				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment	(s)						
1) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Infom	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Application/Control Number: 09/845,259

Art Unit: 3627

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-13 and 15-24, drawn to a system, classified in class 705, subclass 21.
- II. Claim 25, drawn to a terminal device, classified in class 705, subclass 16.

  The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations. See MPEP § 806.05(c). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because combination does not require a reception means. The subcombination has separate utility such as an aid for the hearing impaired.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, because these inventions have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, and because the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 C.F.R. §1.143).
- 5. Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.48(b) if

Application/Control Number: 09/845,259

Art Unit: 3627

ø

i

one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 C.F.R. §1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. §1.17(i).

## Election of Species

6. Applicants' election of Species 'A' in Paper No. 10 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse. See MPEP §818.03(a).

However, after further review of the entire specification, claims, and drawings, it is the Examiner's position that Species 'A' and Species 'B' are not patentably distinct.

Because the two species are not patentably distinct, the Election of Species set forth in Paper No. 9 is hereby withdrawn.

- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Examiner Andrew J. Fischer whose telephone number is (703) 305-0292.
- 8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olszewski Robert can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

Application/Control Number: 09/845,259

Art Unit: 3627

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew J. Fischer

Examiner

Art Unit 3627